

Failure Mechanism of Foamed Concrete Made with/without Additives and Lightweight Aggregate

Prof. Dr. Ameer A. Hilal

College of Engineering / University of Anbar

https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/jact/14/9/14_511/article

It has been reported that owing to a densification of the internal structure of concrete, adding mineral admixtures leads to a more brittle behaviour. Therefore, with the intention of modifying (increasing the strength of) foamed concrete to make it suitable for structural purposes by means of admixtures and lightweight aggregate addition, the effect of these additions on the failure mechanism under compressive and tensile loading using different techniques is evaluated and discussed in this paper. Eight different mixes, made using a pre-formed foam, were investigated with varying density (different foam volumes), nominally 1300, 1600 and 1900 kg/m³, without/with admixtures (silica fume, fly ash and superplasticizer) and lightweight aggregate. The Digital Image Correlation (DIC) technique was adopted to measure the deformations and strains on the surface of a specimen under uniaxial compressive load. Meanwhile, a Video Gauge technique was used to measure the horizontal deformation of discs during a splitting tensile test. From elasticity, fracture and fractal points of view, it was found that, for the same density, brittleness increases with many of the additives while it reduces with inclusion of lightweight aggregate. However, for all mixes, the lower the density (higher added foam volume), the higher the ductility.

Materials

To produce conventional foamed concrete (FC), the following constituent materials were used in this study:

- Portland cement, CEM I-52,5 N (3.15 S.G.) conforming to BS EN 197-1(2011).
- Natural sand (2.65 S.G.) conforming to BS 882 (1992) with additional sieving to remove particles greater than 2.36 mm.
- Fresh, clean and drinkable water.

- Foam (45 kg/m³) was produced by blending the foaming agent, EABASSOC (1.05 S.G.), water and compressed air in predetermined proportions (45 g water to 0.8 ml foaming agent) in a foam generator, STONEFOAM-4.

The relevant mixtures are termed FC3, FC6 and FC9 being nominally 1300, 1600 and 1900 kg/m³ in density, respectively. Then, to produce modified foamed concrete mixes suitable for structural purposes (FCx3 / FCx6 / FCx9), the following additives (the 'x' being a letter representing the additive type) were used depending on the desired mixes:

- Silica fume: Elkem Micro silica (2.2 S.G., 92% SiO₂, mean particle size 0.15 μm and specific surface 20 m²/g) [x= 's'].
- Fly Ash: CEMEX fly ash-class S (2.09 S.G.) conforming to BS EN 405-1 (2005) [x= 'f'] Super plasticizer: MIGHTY 21 EG made by Kao Chemical GmbH of density 1.1 g/cm³, compatible with the EABASSOC foaming agent [x= 'p']. In addition, lightweight aggregate (LYTAG LWA) conforming to BS EN 13055-1 (2002) with a saturated surface dry specific gravity of 1.64 in its coarse (4- 10mm) size was used in two mixes [x= 'y'] to examine its effect on the failure mechanism of foamed concrete.

In this study, eight different foamed concrete mixes, made using a preformed foam, with varying density (different foam volumes), nominally 1300, 1600 and 1900 kg/m³, without/with admixtures (silica fume, fly ash and superplasticizer) and lightweight aggregate were investigated. Their failure mechanism under compressive and tensile loading using different techniques is evaluated and discussed in this paper. Based on the results and discussion, the following conclusions are made:

From a brittleness point of view:

- Mixes without silica fume, fly ash and superplasticizer (FC) are more ductile compared to those with these additives having a similar density.
- Compared to mixes without LWA, for a given density, inclusion of LWA leads to improved ductility of mix with and without the other additives.

From a fracture point of view:

- During a splitting tensile test, the stronger the concrete (increased density or silica fume, fly ash and superplasticizer additives used) the larger the lateral deformation (crack width) before failure.
- The percentage of critical stress increases with increased density (reduced added foam) and for a given density it increases with inclusion of silica fume, fly ash and superplasticizer additives in combination showing a tendency to a more brittle.

References:

- ASTM C796, (1997). “Standard test method for foaming agent for use in producing cellular concrete using preformed foam.” American Society for Testing and Materials.
- Babu, D. S., (2008). “Mechanical and deformational properties, and shrinkage cracking behaviour of lightweight concretes.” Thesis (PhD). National University of Singapore.
- Bharatkumar, B. H., Raghuprasad, B. K., Ramachandramurthy, D. S., Narayanan, R. and Gopalakrishnan, S., (2005). “Effect of fly ash and slag on the fracture characteristics of high performance concrete.” *Materials and Structures*, 38, 63-72.
- BS 882, (1992). “Specification for aggregates from natural sources for concrete.” British Standards Institution, London. BS EN 197-1 (2011). “Cement-Part 1: Composition, specifications and conformity criteria for common cements.” British Standards Institution, London.
- BS EN 405-1, (2005). “Fly ash for concrete- Part 1: Definition, specifications and conformity criteria.” British Standards Institution, London.
- BS EN 13055-1, (2002). “Lightweight aggregates- part 1: Lightweight aggregates for concrete, mortar and grout.” British Standards Institution, London.
- Charkaluk, E., Bigerelle, M. and Iost, A., (1998). “Fractals and fracture.” *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 61, 119-139. Chiaia, B., van Mier, J. G. M. and Vervuurt, A., (1998). “Crack growth mechanisms in four different concretes: microscopic observations and fractal analysis.” *Cement and concrete research*, 28, 103-114. Choi, S. and Shah, S., (1997). “Measurement

of deformations on concrete subjected to compression using image correlation.” *Experimental Mechanics*, 37, 307-313.

- Giaccio, G., de Sensale, G. R. and Zerbino, R., (2007). “Failure mechanism of normal and high-strength concrete with rice-husk ash.” *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 29, 566-574.
- Hilal, A. A., Thom, N. H. and Dawson, A. R., (2015a). “On entrained pore size distribution of foamed concrete.” *Construction and Building Materials*, 75, 227-233.
- Hilal, A. A., Thom, N. H. and Dawson, A. R., (2015b). “On void structure and strength of foamed concrete made without/with additives.” *Construction and Building Materials*, 85, 157-164.
- Hilal, A. A., Thom, N. H. and Dawson, A. R., (2015c). “The use of additives to enhance properties of pre- formed foamed concrete.” *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7, 286-293.
- Jansen, D. C. and Shah, S. P., (1997). “Effect of length on compressive strain softening of concrete.” *Journal of engineering mechanics*, 123, 25-35.